

Appl. No. 10/805,970  
Amdt. Dated November 17, 2006  
Office Action of June 20, 2006

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NOV 17 2006

### REMARKS


This Amendment is in response to the PTO action mailed June 20, 2006. Applicant has amended claims 1, 17 and 26 and has cancelled claims 3 and 18. In view of the amendments and remarks, Applicant believes that the application is in condition for allowance.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 15-17 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Eschweiler patent No. 5,242,369. Claims 1 and 17 were amended to include the elements of allowable claims 3 and 18, respectively.

Claim 18 was objected to for double patenting with respect to claim 26. Claim 26 has been amended to add a limitation not found in claim 18. Thus, the objection has been overcome.

Accordingly, it is believed that each of claims 1-2, 4-17, 19-26 are patentable over the cited references. Applicant respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of the §§ 102 and 103 rejections as to these claims and that the application be allowed.

Respectfully submitted,

By   
David L. Newman (Reg. No. 37,196)  
Attorneys for Assignee

Seyfarth Shaw LLP  
131 South Dearborn Street  
Suite 2400  
Chicago, Illinois 60603-5803  
312-460-5000  
312 460-7000